SOUTH CAROLINA ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT JUNE 30, 1997

CONTENTS

		<u>SCHEDULE</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
I.	INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES		1
II.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION		
	SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - BUDGETARY GENERAL FUND - FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1997	1	4
	SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - OTHER BUDGETED FUNDS - FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1997	2	5
	SCHEDULE OF EXPENDITURES - BUDGET AND ACTUAL - TOTAL BUDGETED FUNDS - FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 1997	3	6
	NOTES TO SCHEDULES		7

INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

January 7, 1998

The Honorable Charles M. Condon Attorney General State of South Carolina Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the management of the South Carolina Attorney General's Office, solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Office for the fiscal year ended June 30, 1997, in the areas addressed. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

- 1. We tested selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records; collection and retention or remittance were supported by law; and accounting procedures and internal accounting controls over the reporting of the tested receipt transactions were adequate to provide proper control over these transactions. The items selected for testing were chosen randomly. The total of the selected items was five percent of the aggregate amount of all recorded receipts. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 2. We tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records; were bona fide disbursements of the Office; and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations and if accounting procedures and internal accounting controls over the reporting of the tested disbursement transactions were adequate to provide proper control over these transactions. The items selected for testing were chosen randomly. The total of the selected items was one percent of the aggregate amount of all recorded non-payroll disbursements. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable Charles M. Condon Attorney General State of South Carolina January 7, 1998

- 3. We tested selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the tested payroll and fringe benefits were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; and payroll transactions including employee payroll deductions were properly authorized by the employees and were in accordance with existing legal requirements and if accounting procedures and internal accounting controls over the reporting of the tested payroll transactions were adequate to provide proper control over these transactions. The items selected for testing were chosen randomly. The total of the selected items was less than one percent of the aggregate amount of all recorded payroll disbursements. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 4. We tested selected recorded journal entries and operating transfers and 100 percent of recorded appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; the accounting procedures and internal accounting controls over the reporting of these transactions were adequate to provide proper control over these transactions; and they agreed with the supporting documentation, were adequately documented and explained, were properly approved, and were mathematically correct. The totals of the selected journal entries and operating transfers were one percent and 70 percent, of the aggregate amounts of all such recorded transactions. Such items selected for testing were chosen judgmentally. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 5. We tested selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Office to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and the accounting procedures and internal accounting controls over the tested transactions were adequate to provide proper control over the books of original entry and the general ledger. The items selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 6. We obtained all monthly reconciliations prepared by the Office for the year ended June 30, 1997, and tested selected final fiscal year-end reconciliations of balances in the Office's accounting records to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if they were accurate and complete. For the selected reconciliations, we recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Office's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined that reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined that necessary adjusting entries were made in the Office's accounting records or STARS. We judgmentally determined to select the final reconciliations for testing. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 7. We tested the Office's compliance with all applicable financial provisions of the South Carolina Code of Laws, Appropriation Act, and other laws, rules, and regulations for fiscal year 1997 by performing the applicable tests and procedures listed on the State Auditor's Office's Appropriation Act 1997 work program. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable Charles M. Condon Attorney General State of South Carolina January 7, 1998

- 8. We obtained copies of the accompanying schedules of expenditures budget and actual for the year ended June 30, 1997, and notes thereto prepared by the Office and agreed the amounts by line-item appropriation within budgetary fund category thereon to the accounting records of the Office. We checked the schedules and notes for mathematical accuracy. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 9. We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 1997, prepared by the Office and submitted to the State Comptroller General and reviewed them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's <u>GAAP Closing Procedures Manual</u> requirements; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 10. We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 1997, prepared by the Office and submitted to the State Auditor and reviewed it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified areas, accounts, or items and on the effectiveness of the internal controls over financial reporting described in paragraph one and procedures one through ten of this report. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or review of the Office's financial statements or any part thereof, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the use of the specified users and should not be used by those who have not agreed to the procedures and taken responsibility for the sufficiency of the procedures for their purposes. However, this report is a matter of public record and its distribution is not limited.

Edgar A. Vaughn, Jr., CPA State Auditor

Schedule of Expenditures Budget and Actual - Budgetary General Fund
For the Year Ended June 30, 1997

	Legal Basis Budget	Actual on Budgetary <u>Basis</u>	<u>Variance</u>
Expenditures:			
Personal Services	\$4,731,473	\$4,449,312	\$282,161
Employer Contributions	1,087,153	997,873	89,280
Other Operating	2,121,016	· ·	88,442
Special Items:	2/121/010	2,032,371	00,112
Major State Litigation	20,447	20,406	41
Death Penalty	43,718	43,718	_
Drug Forfeitures	=	=	_
Grand Jury Clerk Bondsman	_	_	_
Asbestos Litigation	_	_	_
Complex Criminal Litigation	_	_	_
Securities Fines	_	_	_
Charities Fines	_	_	_
Charities Fees	-	_	_
Securities Fees	-	-	-
Certificate of Public Advancement			
Review	-	-	-
Insurance Fraud Unit			
Total Expenditures	\$ <u>8,003,807</u>	\$ <u>7,543,883</u>	\$ <u>459,924</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Schedule of Expenditures Budget and Actual - Other Budgeted Funds
For the Year Ended June 30, 1997

	Legal Basis Budget	Actual on Budgetary <u>Basis</u>	<u>Variance</u>
Expenditures:			
Personal Services	\$ 708,355	\$ 464,489	\$ 243,866
Employer Contributions	177,017	97,425	79,592
Other Operating	562,398	263,968	298,430
Special Items:			
Major State Litigation	-	-	-
Death Penalty	-	-	-
Drug Forfeitures	75,000	66,853	8,147
Grand Jury Clerk Bondsman	12,000	412	11,588
Asbestos Litigation	30,054	473	29,581
Complex Criminal Litigation	500,000	-	500,000
Securities Fines	250,000	149,152	100,848
Charities Fines	200,000	-	200,000
Charities Fees	250,000	167,297	82,703
Securities Fees	250,000	176,256	73,744
Certificate of Public Advancement			
Review	50,000	50,000	-
Insurance Fraud Unit	77,947	49,416	28,531
Total Expenditures	\$3,142,771	\$ <u>1,485,741</u>	\$ <u>1,657,030</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Schedule of Expenditures Budget and Actual - Total Budgeted Funds
For the Year Ended June 30, 1997

	Legal Basis Budget	Actual on Budgetary <u>Basis</u>	<u>Variance</u>
Expenditures:			
Personal Services	\$ 5,439,828	\$4,913,801	\$ 526,027
Employer Contributions	1,264,170	1,095,298	168,872
Other Operating	2,683,414	2,296,542	386,872
Special Items:			
Major State Litigation	20,447	20,406	41
Death Penalty	43,718	43,718	_
Drug Forfeitures	75,000	66,853	8,147
Grand Jury Clerk Bondsman	12,000	412	11,588
Asbestos Litigation	30,054	473	29,581
Complex Criminal Litigation	500,000	_	500,000
Securities Fines	250,000	149,152	100,848
Charities Fines	200,000	_	200,000
Charities Fees	250,000	167,297	82,703
Securities Fees	250,000	176,256	73,744
Certificate of Public Advancement			
Review	50,000	50,000	_
Insurance Fraud Unit	77,947	49,416	28,531
Total Expenditures	\$ <u>11,146,578</u>	\$ <u>9,029,624</u>	\$ <u>2,116,954</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of this schedule.

Notes to Schedules June 30, 1997

NOTE 1 - BUDGET POLICY

The South Carolina Attorney General's Office is granted an annual appropriation for operating purposes by the General Assembly. appropriation as enacted becomes the legal operating budget for the The Appropriation Act authorizes expenditures from funds Office. appropriated from the General Fund of the State and authorizes expenditures of total funds. The Total Funds column in Appropriation Act for each individual budgetary unit authorizes expenditures from all budgeted resources. A revenues budget is not adopted for individual budgetary units. The General Assembly enacts the budget through passage of line-item appropriations by program within budgetary unit within budgetary fund category, State General Fund or other budgeted funds. Budgetary control is maintained at the line-item level of the budgetary entity. Agencies may process disbursement vouchers in the State's budgetary accounting system only if enough cash and appropriation authorization exist.

Transfers of funds may be approved by the State Budget and Control Board under its authority or by the agency as set forth in 1997 Appropriation Act Proviso 72.9. as follows: Agencies are authorized to transfer appropriations within programs and within the agency with notification to the Board's Division of Budget and Analyses and to the State Comptroller General. No such transfer may exceed 20 percent of the program budget. Transfers from personal services accounts or from other operating accounts may be restricted to any level set by the Board.

During the fiscal year-end closeout period in July, agencies may continue to charge vendor, interagency, and interfund payments for the fiscal year to that fiscal year's appropriations. Any unexpended State General Fund monies as of June 30 automatically lapse to the General Fund of the State on July 31 unless authorization is received from the General Assembly to carry over the funds to the ensuing fiscal year. State law does not require the use of encumbrance accounting.

State law does not precisely define the budgetary basis of accounting. The current Appropriation Act states that the General Assembly intends to appropriate all State funds and to authorize and/or appropriate the use of all other monies to operate State government for the current fiscal year. The State's annual budget is prepared primarily on the modified accrual basis of accounting with several exceptions, principally the cash disbursements basis for payroll expenditures.

The schedules of expenditures - budget and actual present actual expenditures on the budgetary basis of accounting compared to the legally adopted and modified budget on a line-item expenditure basis. The level of legal control for each agency for each fiscal year is reported in a publication of the State Comptroller General's Office titled A Detailed Report of Appropriations and Expenditures.

Notes to Schedules June 30, 1997

NOTE 2 - STATE APPROPRIATIONS

The following is a reconciliation of the 1997 Appropriation Act as originally enacted by the General Assembly to amounts available for the Office's budgetary general fund expenditures as reported on Schedule 1 for the year ended June 30, 1997.

Original Appropriation	\$7,405,962
State Budget and Control Board Allocations: Employee Base Pay Increases and Related Employee Benefits (Proviso 17C.19.) Dental Insurance Increase	124,147 2,032
Supplemental Appropriations from 1996 Surplus State General Fund Revenues for Computer Upgrade (Part V of the 1997 Act)	50,000
Appropriation Transfer from State Law Enforcement Division to fund Two Transferred Investigator Positions	47,094
Appropriation Transfer to State Law Enforcement Division to Fund an Obscenity Prosecution Unit	(32,500)
Revised Appropriation - Legal Basis	7,596,735
Plus: 1996 Appropriation Brought Forward	407,072
Legal Basis Appropriation Available for 1997 Expenditures	\$ <u>8,003,807</u>

Pursuant to Proviso 72.44. of the 1997 Appropriation Act, the Office brought forward \$407,072 of unspent State General Fund appropriations from fiscal year 1996 to the current year. This proviso authorized a maximum carry-forward of 10 percent of an agency's original appropriation with certain limitations for reductions and separate carry-forward authority.

In accordance with Proviso 72.44. of the 1998 Appropriation Act, the Office carried forward \$459,924 of unspent State General Fund appropriations from the current year to fiscal year 1998. This proviso authorized a maximum carry-forward of 10 percent of an agency's original appropriation with certain limitations for reductions and separate carry-forward authority.